#### Storm Water Management Evaluating Pointe at Jamestown BMP

Michael Passarello College of Charleston REU Summer Program 2007

Faculty Adviser: Dr. Greg Hancock

### **Objectives**

- Determine whether the peak inflows, peak outflows, centroid lag times, and runoff coefficients agree with design and regulations
- Compare pond dimensions and volumes with design and EPA recommendations
- Determine if there are any negative impacts on streams downstream of BMP's

# Methodology – Flow Evaluation

- Determine pond elevation from pressure transducer and staff gauge
- Rain gauge data
- Use pond elevations to calculate flows in and out of BMP
- Salt Dilution Method as an indicator of "actual discharge"







# Methodology – Surveying



## Survey Data

**Pointe Pond Volume** 

 $y = -43.316x^{3} + 4851.3x^{2} - 87588x + 416503$  $y = -82.327x^{3} + 6406.5x^{2} - 111440x + 552165$ 



## Survey Data Summary

#### **Based on Design**

- Water Quality Requirements: 48,134 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Water Quantity **Requirements**: 109,844 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Total Storage Required: 157,978 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Water Quality Volume **Provided**: 72,063 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Water Quantity Volume Provided: 115,857 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Total Storage Provided: 187,920 ft<sup>3</sup>



#### Based on Survey

- Water Quality Volume: 67,481.99 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Water Quantity Volume: 94,403.94 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Total Storage: 161,885.9 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Wet Storage Difference: 4,581.01 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Dry Storage Difference: 21,453.06 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Total Storage Difference: 26,034.07 ft<sup>3</sup>
- Wet Storage Difference: 6.4%
- Dry Storage Difference: 18.5%
- Total Storage Difference: 13.9%
- Water Quantity Requirements short 15,440.06 ft<sup>3</sup>



#### **EPA Design Recommendations**

	EPA Recommendations	Pointe Results
Pond Depth	3-9 feet for permanent pool	2.24 ft
Area Ratio	Less than 100	49.22
Length/Width Ratio	At least 2:1	4.77:1

## Hydrologic Performance

- •<u>Peak Inflow</u> maximum volumetric discharge into the pond
- •<u>Peak Outflow</u> maximum volumetric discharge out of pond
- •<u>Centroid Lag</u> time between peak inflow and peak outflow
- •<u>Runoff Coefficient</u> ratio of total surface runoff to total runoff into pond
- •<u>Salt Dilution</u> method for measuring volumetric discharge from BMP at a given staff gauge height





#### **Runoff Coefficient vs Rainfall**



#### **Rosgen – Stream Classification**

#### **Problems**

- Identifying Bankfull
- In incised streams, "bankfull" is really meant to refer to the "dominant" flow that sets the channel size







#### **Stream Classification**

# Qualitative Analysis Streams with BMP's at the headwater appear more incised and entrenched



 Streams with recently installed BMP's contain dense root exposure and undercutting



## Conclusions

#### Hydrologic Performance

Greater Peak Inflows than predicted

- Greater Peak Outflows than predicted
- Centroid Lag time consistent with design and regulation
- Underestimated Runoff Coefficient may explain why there are greater inflows and outflows

- Stream Classification
  - Difficult to apply Rosgen Method to incised streams
  - BMP's are not effective toward protecting streams
- Pond Dimensions
  - Less dry storage than needed may explain the greater outflows
  - Pond is adequate for sediment settlement but may not be efficient in water quality